Postsecondary Education and Late-life Cognitive Outcomes Among Black and White Participants in the Project Talent Aging Study

Can Early-life Cognitive Skills Account for Educational Differences in Late-life Cognition?

Marilyn D. Thomas, PhD, MPH,† Camilla Calmasini, ScM,* Dominika Seblova, PhD,‡ Susan Lapham, MS,§ Kelly Peters, PhD,§ Carol A. Prescott, PhD,∥ Christina Mangurian, MD, MAS,*† Medellena Maria Glymour, ScD,* and Jennifer J. Manly, PhD‡*

Background: Higher education consistently predicts improved late-life cognition. Racial differences in educational attainment likely contribute to inequities in dementia risk. However, few studies of education and cognition have controlled for prospectively measured early-life confounders or evaluated whether the education late-life cognition association is modified by race/ethnicity.

Methods: Among 2343 Black and White Project Talent Aging Study participants who completed telephone cognitive assessments, we evaluated whether the association between years of education and cognition (verbal fluency, memory/recall, attention, and a composite cognitive measure) differed by race, and whether these differences persisted when adjusting for childhood factors, including the cognitive ability.

Results: In fully adjusted linear regression models, each additional year of education was associated with higher composite cognitive scores for Black [$\beta = 0.137$; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.068, 0.206] and White respondents ($\beta = 0.056$; CI = 0.034, 0.078) with an interaction with race ($P = 0.03$). Associations between education and memory/recall among Black adults ($\beta = 0.036$; CI = −0.037, 0.109) and attention among White adults ($\beta = 0.022$; CI = −0.002, 0.046) were nonsignificant. However, there were significant race-education interactions for the composite ($P = 0.03$) and attention measures ($P < 0.001$) but not verbal fluency ($P = 0.61$) or memory/recall ($P = 0.95$).

Conclusion: Education predicted better overall cognition for both Black and White adults, even with stringent control for prospectively measured early-life confounders.

Key Words: aging, cognition, education, racial disparities

(*Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2022;00:000–000)

Significant racial inequities have been documented for Alzheimer disease and related disorders (ADRDs),[1–4] but the explanations for these inequalities remain uncertain. Although increased education reduces the risk of late-life cognitive impairment, prior research suggests that differences in educational attainment do not fully explain racial inequalities in dementia risk. An important limitation of prior research is that it has generally not accounted for potential differences in the impact of the educational experience by race/ethnicity. Cognitive benefits conferred by educational experiences may differ for Black and White Americans because of the racialized structural forces permeating within and surrounding the educational institutions (eg, racial composition and education quality). For example, Black students in highly segregated schools have historically had greater exposure to school poverty resulting in fewer resources for high-quality education.[5] Furthermore, Black students pursuing education in predominantly White institutions are likely to increase their exposure to interpersonal racial discrimination,[6–8] which may increase ADRD risk via stress regulation pathways.

A related gap in prior literature on education and late-life cognition is the absence of rigorous studies controlling for prospectively measured confounders among Black individuals. Many factors, including socioeconomic status and childhood cognitive skills, may confound the association of education and late-life cognition. The majority of studies in cognitive aging include, at best, retrospective assessments of early-life conditions. These factors have been evaluated prospectively in only a handful of studies, which were nearly entirely comprised of White participants [eg, Wisconsin Longitudinal Study (WLS) and the British 1948 birth cohort study followed from childhood].[9,10] No prior study with

Received for publication November 29, 2021; accepted March 27, 2022.
From the Departments of *Epidemiology and Biostatistics; †Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; ‡Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY; §American Institutes for Research, Washington, DC; and ∥Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA.
This study was supported by National Institute on Aging (NIA) under Grants RF1AG056164 (J.J.M.) and R01AG056163 (C.A.P.).
M.D.T. was supported by an unrelated award from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences UL1GM118985. C.M. is supported by several grants unrelated to this work including from the National Institute of Mental Health, Genentech Charitable Giving, the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation, Weston Haven Foundation, and the California Health Care Foundation.
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
Reprints: Marilyn D. Thomas, PhD, MPH, Departments of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences and Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, 1001 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94110 (e-mail: marilyn.thomas@ucsf.edu).
Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website, www.alzheimerjournal.com.

substantial Black participation has also included prospectively measured childhood socioeconomic status and cognition.

Understanding the possible racial differences in the cognitive outcomes associated with educational attainment is important to understand the drivers of racial disparities in dementia risk. It can also offer insight into why and how education impacts late-life cognitive outcomes. Although increases in education over the past 60 years may be contributing to the recently observed declines in age-specific dementia incidence,[11] racial/ethnic inequities in dementia persist at all levels of educational attainment.[12] Evaluating differential returns to educational attainment is, therefore, necessary to understand whether the current inequalities in education investments for Black and White children are likely to have long-term consequences for population-level inequities in dementia burden and risk of cognitive decline.[13–15]

Educational attainment may also have differential protection across cognitive domains, warranting evaluation. The Project Talent Aging Study (PTAS) is a late-life follow-up of Project Talent, a large nationally representative cohort of high school students initiated in 1960. PTAS is well suited to investigate the intersectional effects of race/ethnicity and education on various cognitive measures. We aimed to evaluate whether race/ethnicity modifies the association between education and cognition overall, and within specific cognitive measures: verbal fluency, memory/recall, and attention. Few other data sets include comparable lifecourse information. We therefore also assessed the importance of childhood and adolescent factors as confounders of the education-cognition association to inform the interpretation of prior research that did not control for early-life measures.[2,4] Given the Black-White inequities in educational quality,[3,16] we hypothesized that the association between increased education and better cognitive outcomes would be larger for White compared with Black adults.

METHODS

Study Sample

PTAS is a longitudinal lifecourse study of cognitive, physical, and psychosocial aging among a subset of participants in the 1960 Project Talent study.[17] Project Talent was a large nationally representative study to examine the early-life predictors of adult economic, educational, and occupational outcomes, as well as psychosocial and physical well-being.[17] Students were sampled from 1226 US public, private, and parochial junior high (or "feeder") and high schools (grades 9 to 12). The sample comprised 5% of all US schools. Over 2 days, 377,016 students completed a questionnaire and battery of tests. When Project Talent participants were roughly age 75 years (2018-2019), PTAS was fielded. For PTAS stage 1, 22,584 participants were identified from survivors of the original sample to overrepresent the students who attended racially integrated or predominantly Black high schools. Respondents to Stage 1 (n = 6421) were eligible for stage 2 where interviewer-administered surveys were completed by telephone interview and supplemented by self-reported demographic, socioeconomic, and health information via web/tablet. This study uses data from 2347 PTAS respondents who completed the stage 2 self-administered cognitive assessments and included all racial/ethnic groups aged 71 to 79. We excluded participants who were missing cognitive measures (n = 4), leaving 2343 participants for primary analyses (Supplemental Digital Content 1, which illustrates sample selection, http://links.lww.com/WAD/A408).

Exposure Assessment

Participants reported the highest level of education they completed. We converted levels of education to years: less than high school = 11, high school diploma = 12, some college = 13, associate degree = 14, bachelor's degree = 16, master's or doctoral degree = 18.

Race/ethnicity (hereafter race) was self-reported in the PTAS and classified as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other racial groups (American Indian/Alaskan Native, Middle Eastern/North African, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or other). We evaluated White, Black, and other racial/ethnic (ie, non-White and non-Black) participant groups. To maximize statistical power, we retained all respondents in the analysis but do not report the main results for the "other" race category because the heterogeneity in this group obscures interpretation.

Outcome Assessment

We considered 3 independent measures of cognition—verbal fluency, memory/recall, and attention—and a composite measure of all the 3 to assess overall cognition. Each independent cognitive measure was converted to a z-score for comparability (ie, transformed to have mean zero with 1-unit SD ranging −3 to 3).

Verbal Fluency

Phonemic and semantic fluency were assessed. In the animal fluency test,[18] respondents were asked to name as many animals as they could think of within a 45-second period (score range: 0 to 38). Separately, letter "f" fluency was assessed,[18] asking respondents to name as many words beginning with the letter "f" as they could think of within 60 seconds (score range: 0 to 31). Raw scores of the 2 fluency tests were averaged, and summary scores ranged from 0 to 29.5 with higher scores reflecting better verbal fluency.

Memory/Recall

Immediate recall was assessed using a Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease 10-word list recall test.[19] The scores from 3 trials were summed resulting in a raw summary score ranging from 0 to 30. Delayed recall was assessed in 1 trial asking the participants to recall words presented during the immediate recall task.[19] The number of words recalled with delay were divided by the last immediate recall trial score. The resulting delayed recall raw score, a proportional score (0 to 10), was rescaled and ranged from 0 to 30 for comparability with immediate recall. The 2 recall tests were averaged, and raw summary scores ranged from 0 to 26 with higher scores reflecting better recall.

Attention

Using a serial 7 subtraction test, respondents were asked to count backward from 100 by counts of 7 (ie, 93, 86, etc.) for 5 intervals of subtraction. Raw attention scores ranged from 0 to 5 with higher scores reflecting better attention.

Overall Cognition

The composite cognitive measure was generated by averaging the combined z-standardized verbal fluency, memory/recall measure, and attention measures.

Covariates

Variables that plausibly confound the education-cognition association were selected a priori based on our conceptual understanding of factors that may have influenced both the educational attainment and the late-life cognition. All models included an interaction term for education and race. To facilitate evaluating the importance of confounding factors, we considered 3 sets of confounders.

Model 1

We first evaluated a minimal set of control variables: the interaction of self-reported race at cognitive assessment, self-reported sex (male/female) from the 1960 Project Talent, and age at cognitive assessment.

Model 2

We then incorporated additional childhood confounders from Project Talent. Childhood socioeconomic status (cSES) was comprised of 9 socioeconomic indicators, as recorded by adolescent respondents and assesses mother's and father's education, father's occupation, and ownership of household items [eg, appliances (automatic washer, dishwasher), technology (telephone, television), and assets (silverware, own room/desk)]. Each cSES variable was coded continuously or ordinally and summed to generate a composite measure with higher scores reflecting higher cSES (range: 67 to 126). Childhood self-reported health (cSRH) in the last 3 years was scored on a 5-point Likert scale, with higher scores reflecting better health (very poor = 1 to excellent = 5).

Model 3

Our most comprehensive covariate set included adolescent confounders from Project Talent. Self-reported number of adolescent school absences was measured as a 6-level ordinal variable (eg, none, 1 to 4 d, 5 to 9 d, etc.), with higher levels reflecting more absences. Adolescent cognitive ability was measured using 3 scales: reading comprehension (48 items), abstract reasoning (15 items), and arithmetic reasoning (16 items). The adolescent cognitive score is a weighted sum of scores on each scale and was assessed as a continuous variable ranging from 20 to 279, with higher values reflecting better cognitive performance.[20]

Statistical Analysis

We evaluated whether a linear model for the association between education and cognition was appropriate using indicator variables for each year of education and assessing approximate dose-response increases (Supplemental Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/WAD/A409, which presents regression estimates). We then used multiple imputation by chained equations,[21,22] which is valid under a missing at random assumption to replace missing values for linear regressions. We used 20 imputations to impute values for age, cSES, childhood self-reported health, adolescent school absences, and adolescent cognitive ability. We used these models to estimate associations between years of education and each cognitive outcome (the 3 measures and the composite), adjusting successively for each of the 3 covariate sets in Black participants and in White participants, and to test the null hypothesis that race did not modify the effect of education on cognition ($P < 0.05$). To characterize the importance of additional control variables, we estimated the percent attenuation in coefficients across covariate sets, for example, as:

$$\left(\left(\beta_{\text{model2}} - \beta_{\text{model1}}\right)/\beta_{\text{model1}}\right) \times 100.$$

We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of findings. Because racial inequities in dementia show that Black adults are at the greatest risk,[2,4] any health returns on education found to be protective for Black adults may benefit all the minoritized racial groups. To determine whether the intersection of race and education is most relevant for Black adults or for any non-White adults, we collapsed the "Black" and "other" racial groups and evaluated 1 dichotomous race measure (non-White and White).

We then used linear regressions of separate models comparing Black to White and non-White to White adults, which were restricted to participants who had complete data for key variables of interest to assess the potential magnitude and direction of any bias introduced by excluding participants with missing values. All analyses were conducted using RStudio Version 1.3.1093 (R Studio, Boston, MA).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the sample distribution of PTAS participant characteristics. The average age of participants was 75 years. Approximately half of the participants were female (52%) and the average years of education was 14 years. During childhood, the average health of participants was good and average SES was middle class. Compared with White participants, Black participants averaged worse childhood health, lower childhood socioeconomic status, and lower cognitive scores in adolescence.

Figure 1 depicts estimates from imputed multivariable linear regression models of late-life cognition on years of education for Black and White PTAS participants (Supplemental Digital Content 3 presents regression estimates, http://links.lww.com/WAD/A410). In minimally adjusted models (Model 1), each additional year of education predicted higher scores for both White and Black respondents on all cognitive measures. The estimates were significantly larger among Black compared with White respondents for the composite measure [Black adults: $\beta = 0.218$; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.145, 0.290; White adults: $\beta = 0.137$; CI = 0.115, 0.158; interaction P-value = 0.036] and for attention (Black adults: $\beta = 0.227$; CI = 0.152, 0.302; White adults: $\beta = 0.078$; CI = 0.056, 0.100; interaction P-value < 0.001), but there were no race-education interactions for measures of verbal fluency ($P = 0.68$) or memory/recall ($P = 0.90$). Additional control for cSES and SRH (Model 2) attenuated estimates by 14% to 25% and CIs widened slightly. The CI for memory/recall among Black adults included the null ($\beta = 0.064$; CI = −0.009, 0.138).

Additional control for adolescent school absences and cognitive scores (Model 3) further attenuated the estimates by 16% to 63% compared with the estimates from Model 2. Each additional year of education was associated with higher cognitive composite scores for Black ($\beta = 0.137$; CI = 0.068, 0.206) and White respondents ($\beta = 0.056$; CI = 0.034, 0.078), with an interaction with race ($P = 0.03$). For Black respondents, fully adjusted coefficients were attenuated by 37% compared with the minimally adjusted coefficient; among White respondents,

TABLE 1. Sample Characteristic for the Project Talent Aging Study Participants, Stratified by Race

Variable	Overall, Mean (SD) N = 2343	White Participants, Mean (SD) n = 1879	Black Participants, Mean (SD) n = 243	Other Race Participants, Mean (SD) n = 221	*P*
Late-life covariates					
Years of education (range: 11-18)	14.08 (1.92)	14.17 (1.98)	13.60 (1.61)	13.86 (1.61)	< 0.001
Male sex (%)	1115 (47.6)	916 (48.7)	95 (39.1)	104 (47.1)	0.018
Age (y)	74.80 (1.22)	74.79 (1.20)	74.99 (1.31)	74.71 (1.26)	0.024
Early-life covariates					
Childhood health status (range: 1-6)	5.00 (1.00)	5.06 (0.96)	4.61 (1.15)	4.84 (1.01)	< 0.001
Childhood SES status (range: 67-126)	98.40 (10.31)	100.08 (9.61)	90.33 (10.44)	93.18 (10.03)	< 0.001
Adolescent cognitive score (range: 20-79)	176.22 (53.22)	184.82 (49.71)	121.53 (48.10)	164.00 (48.97)	< 0.001
Adolescent school absences (range: 1-6)	2.57 (1.19)	2.58 (1.17)	2.61 (1.36)	2.39 (1.19)	0.080
Cognitive outcomes					
Composite cognitive score (range: −4.63 to 2.64)	0.00 (1.00)	0.07 (0.96)	−0.43 (1.12)	−0.15 (1.05)	< 0.001
Verbal fluency score (range: −3.38 to 3.64)	0.00 (1.00)	0.05 (1.00)	−0.31 (0.98)	−0.06 (1.00)	< 0.001
Memory/recall score (range: −3.41 to 2.12)	0.00 (1.00)	0.03 (0.99)	−0.13 (1.03)	−0.11 (1.03)	0.014
Attention score (range: −3.66 to 0.65)	0.00 (1.00)	0.08 (0.93)	−0.47 (1.28)	−0.14 (1.11)	< 0.001

P-value tests the null hypothesis that the covariate mean is the same for White, Black, and other race participants.
SES indicates socioeconomic status.

fully adjusted coefficients were attenuated by 60% compared with minimally adjusted coefficients. Most results of the independent cognitive measures remained large enough to be substantively relevant, although 2 CIs included the null: the fully adjusted association between education and memory/recall among Black adults (β = 0.036; CI = −0.037, 0.109) and attention among White adults (β = 0.022; CI = −0.002, 0.046) were nonsignificant. In fully adjusted models, there were race-education interactions for the composite ($P = 0.03$) and attention measures ($P < 0.001$) but not verbal fluency ($P = 0.61$) or memory/recall ($P = 0.95$).

The results from our sensitivity analyses are presented in Supplemental Digital Content 4 (http://links.lww.com/WAD/A411). Main findings are similar, although with the imputed data set comparing non-White (instead of Black) participants to White respondents, one result became statistically significant (Supplemental Table 4a, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/WAD/A411): the fully adjusted estimated effect of education on memory/recall among non-White participants (β = 0.058; CI = 0.005, 0.111) was nearly double the coefficient among White participants (β = 0.035; CI = 0.012, 0.058), although the test of interaction indicated that this difference was plausibly due to chance ($P = 0.42$).

Associations among the smaller sample of Black and White participants for which there were complete data (n = 2078; Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/WAD/A408) were also similar (Supplemental Table 4b, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/WAD/A411). The coefficients among Black participants were slightly smaller for the composite (β = 0.107; CI = 0.030, 0.183) and attention measures (β = 0.122; CI = 0.042, 0.202), and the race-education interaction for the composite measure was not statistically significant ($P = 0.18$).

DISCUSSION

In a national sample of Black and White older adults followed nearly 60 years after the initial assessments, we found that greater educational attainment predicted better overall cognition regardless of race. The association of education and cognition was attenuated slightly more for White than for Black participants when controlling for prospectively measured childhood and adolescent confounders. Contrary to our hypothesis, education was associated with larger increments in scores on late-life attention and composite cognitive measures for Black respondents than for White respondents.

This is the first prospective cohort study to investigate the link between the educational attainment and the late-life cognition in a national sample of racially diverse adults, with prospectively collected information on early-life SES and cognitive ability. Childhood SES and cognitive ability are considered among the most plausible confounders of the link between education and late-life cognitive outcomes. In the United States, the WLS—recruited from the 1957 high school graduates in Wisconsin—is among the only cohort studies with prospectively collected information on adolescent cognitive skills and late-life cognition. In WLS, each year of education was associated with approximately a 0.15 SD higher cognitive composite score.[23] The association



FIGURE 1. Linear regression estimates of cognitive outcomes on years of education in the Project Talent Aging Study, comparing coefficients among Black participants versus White participants using multiply imputed data (N = 2343). Indicated along the *x*-axis, the coefficients estimate the effects of years of education on each cognitive measure. Three models adjusting successively for each of the 3 covariate sets in Black participants and in White participants are indicated along the *y*-axis. All models include an education×race/ethnicity interaction term and the interaction *P*-value tests the null hypothesis that the effect of education on cognition is the same for Black participants and White participants. Model 1 is adjusted for race/ethnicity, age, and sex. Model 2 includes Model 1 plus childhood socioeconomic status and childhood self-reported health status. Model 3 includes Model 2 plus number of adolescent school absences and adolescent cognitive ability. Interactions significant at $P < 0.05$ in bold on the *y*-axis. CI indicates confidence interval.

in our sample, whose participants were approximately a decade older at cognitive assessment than the WLS sample, was somewhat smaller among white participants (SD = 0.06) but similar among black participants (SD = 0.14). The WLS includes individuals who resided in Wisconsin at enrollment and is almost entirely comprised of white respondents with at least a high school diploma.[24] A small proportion of PTAS participants never completed high school. The covariates included in our model were slightly more comprehensive than those in WLS, but the discrepancy for White participants is more likely due to differences in the exposure and outcome measures assessed or potentially the geographic diversity of the sample.

Our findings confirm robust associations between education and late-life cognition among older Black adults, which persist after control for various early-life predictors of ADRD, including SES and cognitive ability. Control for early-life factors attenuated the association between education and late-life cognition to a slightly larger degree among White participants than among Black participants. This may reflect the extreme discrimination faced by Black individuals in these cohorts; race-based discrimination likely precluded many cognitively gifted Black students from pursuing additional schooling.

Prior work on whether education confers the same magnitude of health benefits for Black and White adults is inconsistent. These inconsistencies may relate to the outcomes assessed or to the populations included in the studies or a combination of both. Using the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 study, Vable et al[25] demonstrated greater health returns on each additional year of education attained by age 25 for Black women compared with White men at age 50, on the mental component scores of the 12-item short-form survey. However, Eng et al[26] found that more schooling predicted similar benefits in verbal episodic memory among racially diverse Californians over age 65 in the Kaiser Healthy Aging and Diverse Life Experiences study. Our study findings are consistent with Jean et al's[27] reporting that the effect of educational attainment was twice as large for overall cognition among Black compared with White older adults in a sample from the Family Relationships in Late Life Study, and that there was a significant race-education interaction for attention. Moreover, in the current study, when contrasting Black and White respondents, the difference in the magnitude of association between education and overall cognition was largely driven by the attention measure. This growing body of evidence suggests that higher education may indirectly mitigate Black-White gaps in dementia risk through cognitive improvement within specific domains (eg, attention) masked by composite cognitive measures. Future studies investigating the impact of years of schooling on cognitive functioning should separate out specific domains when examining the extent to which the educational benefits vary by racial group. This may help disentangle the relevance of various levels of educational attainment on racial inequities in dementia risk and inform more targeted interventions.

When comparing the effects of education for Black and White adults born in the early 1940s, it is important to consider both educational quality and labor market conditions shaping the potential value of educational credentials. Children in most southern states would have completed much of their schooling in institutions legally segregated by race. Such institutions tend to be under-resourced.[28,29] Black students who pursued high school or college in racially integrated institutions would likely have encountered both implicit and explicit forms of racially motivated antipathy (eg, microaggressions, overt hostility, cultural exclusion) and would have been taught almost entirely by White faculty members.

Given that Black students at predominantly White institutions commonly report racial stress and discrimination,[6,7,30] race-based educational experiences may influence the late-life risk of ADRD through stress-regulation mechanisms. Chronic stress can promote oxidative cellular stress.[31] This oxidative cellular stress is linked to early pathogenesis of ADRD through neuro- and/or vascular-inflammatory pathways.[32] Although stress-related factors might be expected to diminish the returns to education for Black cohort members, we found no evidence of this. Our analyses indicate that the advantage in late-life cognition potentially offered by educational attainment is equal to or greater for Black than White individuals. This finding may indicate that investment into educational opportunities for Black students can narrow disparities in later-life cognitive health. Our results may also reflect the comparatively extreme disadvantages faced by Black individuals with less education from these cohorts.

Historical inequalities in access to education may have been a critical mechanism for the racial differences in older adult cognitive outcomes and dementia risk currently observed. Selection processes limiting access to higher education likely exacerbated early childhood inequalities. Likewise, investment in schools that serve Black children and increasing educational opportunities for Black people may offer an opportunity to mitigate future Black-White inequities in dementia risk. Our findings support this possibility by providing convincing evidence that the association between education and late-life cognitive outcomes is robust to controls for early-life confounders for both Black and White respondents, even for confounders measured in adolescence.

Several methodological considerations should be noted. Although our exposure was not randomized, we have detailed prospective measures on the most relevant early-life confounders. Furthermore, our results align with prior quasi-experimental studies showing positive benefits of longer education for later-life cognition, although those studies have mostly been in white individuals. Although confounding remains a possibility, evidence for causation is increasingly strong. Internal validity was further strengthened by accounting of early and late-life covariates that contribute to cognitive aging. Follow-up of the original Project Talent sample was hindered by high mortality and low participation rates, especially for Black participants. If education and cognition interacted in their effects on participation, this may have biased effect estimates. Given the historical and ongoing Black-White differences in time taken to complete a diploma or degree,[33–36] converting levels of education to years may underestimate the years of exposure to schooling for Black participants. However, we conducted a post hoc analysis using a dichotomized educational attainment variable comparing high school or less ($n=410$) with more than high school ($n=1668$) and the results were consistent with our findings, though estimates were larger in magnitude. We did not have sample size sufficient to examine the intersection of race and sex in our analyses, but prior evidence suggests that education may have differentially benefited Black women compared with Black men.[25,37] Our cognitive assessments used validated instruments but were not comprehensive and may be subject to measurement error due to the mode of administration. This measurement error may have attenuated effect estimates. For some participants, only 1 of the 2 cognitive tests were complete and used to measure verbal fluency (animal or letter "f" fluency) and memory/recall (immediate or delayed recall). This could bias estimates as cognitive tests can differ in difficulty. However, a post hoc analysis confirmed that a negligible proportion of participants' scores were measured using the test expected to be less difficult for verbal fluency ($<0.1\%$) and memory/recall ($<1.5\%$).

This study leverages the strengths of one of the most diverse school-based longitudinal studies to provide critical evidence linking education to cognition in US adults, especially for Black participants. Further research using larger nationally representative diverse samples is needed to determine the robustness of our findings, factors that may modify effects, potential causation, and mechanisms. Future research evaluating racial inequities in dementia will require a more nuanced examination of social exposures that uniquely impact Black Americans (eg, attending predominantly Black schools) beyond established risk factors derived from the general population (eg, years of schooling).

REFERENCES

1. Lines LM, Sherif NA, Wiener JM. *Racial and Ethnic Disparities Among Individuals With Alzheimer's Disease in the United States: A Literature Review (RTI Press Publication No RR-0024-1412)*. Research Triangle Park, NC: RTI Press; 2014.
2. Matthews KA, Xu W, Gaglioti AH, et al. Racial and ethnic estimates of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in the United States (2015–2060) in adults aged ≥ 65 years. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2019;15:17–24.
3. Mehta KM, Yeo GW. Systematic review of dementia prevalence and incidence in United States race/ethnic populations. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2017;13:72–83.
4. Steenland K, Goldstein FC, Levey A, et al. A meta-analysis of Alzheimer's disease incidence and prevalence comparing African-Americans and Caucasians. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2016;50:71–76.
5. Fahle EM, Reardon SF, Kalogrides D, et al. Racial segregation and school poverty in the United States, 1999–2016. *Race Soc Probl*. 2020;12:42–56.
6. Fries-Britt SL, Turner B. Facing stereotypes: a case study of Black students on a White campus. *J Coll Stud Dev*. 2001;42:420–429.
7. Griffith AN, Hurd NM, Hussain SB. "I didn't come to school for this": a qualitative examination of experiences with race-related stressors and coping responses among Black students attending a predominantly White institution. *J Adolesc Res*. 2019;34:115–139.
8. Lamar M, Lerner AJ, James BD, et al. Relationship of early-life residence and educational experience to level and change in cognitive functioning: results of the minority aging research study. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2020;75:e81–e92.
9. Richards M, James S-N, Sizer A, et al. Identifying the lifetime cognitive and socioeconomic antecedents of cognitive state: seven decades of follow-up in a British birth cohort study. *BMJ open*. 2019;9:e024404.

10. Cadar D, Lassale C, Davies H, et al. Individual and area-based socioeconomic factors associated with dementia incidence in England: evidence from a 12-year follow-up in the English longitudinal study of ageing. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75:723–732.
11. Wolters FJ, Chibnik LB, Waziry R, et al. Twenty-seven-year time trends in dementia incidence in Europe and the United States: the Alzheimer Cohorts Consortium. *Neurology*. 2020;95: e519–e531.
12. Farina MP, Hayward MD, Kim JK, et al. Racial and educational disparities in dementia and dementia-free life expectancy. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2020;75:e105–e112.
13. Montez JK, Hummer RA, Hayward MD. Educational attainment and adult mortality in the United States: a systematic analysis of functional form. *Demography*. 2012;49:315–336.
14. Braveman PA, Cubbin C, Egerter S, et al. Socioeconomic disparities in health in the United States: what the patterns tell us. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(S1):S186–S196.
15. Farmer MM, Ferraro KF. Are racial disparities in health conditional on socioeconomic status? *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;60:191–204.
16. Brittain J, Kozlak C. Racial disparities in educational opportunities in the United States. *Seattle J Soc Justice*. 2007;6:11.
17. Gruenewald T, Prescott C. The project talent aging study: a new lifecourse study of cognitive, physical, and psycosocial aging. *Innov Aging*. 2017;1(suppl 1):1018–1019.
18. Faria CdA, Alves HVD, Charchat-Fichman H. The most frequently used tests for assessing executive functions in aging. *Dement Neuropsychol*. 2015;9:149–155.
19. Morris JC, Heyman A, Mohs RC, et al. The consortium to establish a registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD): I. Clinical and neuropsychological assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*. 1989;39:1159–1165.
20. Wise LL, McLaughlin DH, Steel L. *The Project TALENT Data Bank Handbook*. Palo Alto, CA: American Institutes for Research; 1979.
21. Azur MJ, Stuart EA, Frangakis C, et al. Multiple imputation by chained equations: what is it and how does it work? *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res*. 2011;20:40–49.
22. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med*. 2011;30:377–399.
23. Harrati A, Glymour MM. Lifecourse epidemiology matures: commentary on Zhang et al."Early-life socioeconomic status, adolescent cognitive ability, and cognition in late midlife". *Soc Sci Med*. 2020;244:112645.
24. Zhang Z, Liu H, Choi S-w. Early-life socioeconomic status, adolescent cognitive ability, and cognition in late midlife: evidence from the Wisconsin Longitudinal Study. *Soc Sci Med*. 2020;244:112575.
25. Vable AM, Cohen AK, Leonard SA, et al. Do the health benefits of education vary by sociodemographic subgroup? Differential returns to education and implications for health inequities. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2018;28:759–766.e755.
26. Eng CW, Glymour MM, Gilsanz P, et al. Do the benefits of educational attainment for late-life cognition differ by racial/ethnic group?: evidence for heterogenous treatment effects in the Kaiser Healthy Aging and Diverse Life Experience (KHANDLE) Study. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2020;35:106.
27. Jean KR, Lindbergh CA, Mewborn CM, et al. Education differentially buffers cognitive performance in black and white older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2019;74: 1366–1375.
28. Sosina VE, Weathers ES. Pathways to inequality: between-district segregation and racial disparities in school district expenditures. *AERA Open*. 2019;5:1–15.
29. Margo RA. *Race and Schooling in the South, 1880-1950*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 2007.
30. Harper SR. Am I my brother's teacher? Black undergraduates, racial socialization, and peer pedagogies in predominantly white postsecondary contexts. *Rev Res Educ*. 2013;37:183–211.
31. Khansari N, Shakiba Y, Mahmoudi M. Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress as a major cause of age-related diseases and cancer. *Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov*. 2009;3:73–80.
32. Whalley LJ, Deary IJ, Appleton CL, et al. Cognitive reserve and the neurobiology of cognitive aging. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2004;3:369–382.
33. National Center for Education Statistics. Highest level of education attained by 1980 high school seniors, by race/ethnicity and October 1980 postsecondary education attendance status: Spring 1986. 1995. Available at: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d95/dtab303.asp. Accessed January 21, 2022.
34. National Center for Education Statistics. Enrollment and completion status of first-time postsecondary students starting during the 1989-90 academic year, by degree objective and other student characteristics: Spring 1992. 1995. Available at: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d95/dtab304.asp. Accessed January 21, 2022.
35. National Center for Education Statistics. Percentage distribution of first-time, full-time bachelor's degree-seeking students at 4-year postsecondary institutions 6 years after entry, by completion and enrollment status at first institution attended, sex, race/ethnicity, control of institution, and percentage of applications accepted: cohort entry years 2007 and 2012. 2019. Available at: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d19/tables/dt19_326.15.asp. Accessed January 21, 2022.
36. National Center for Education Statistics. Percentage distribution of first-time, full-time degree/certificate-seeking students at 2-year postsecondary institutions 3 years after entry, by completion and enrollment status at first institution attended, sex, race/ethnicity, and control of institution: cohort entry years 2010 and 2015. 2019. Available at: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d19/tables/dt19_326.25.asp. Accessed January 21, 2022.
37. Liu SY, Manly JJ, Capistrant BD, et al. Historical differences in school term length and measured blood pressure: contributions to persistent racial disparities among US-born adults. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0129673.